



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/578,631	05/25/2000	William H. Barber	387953	5757

7590 05/08/2002

CURTIS A. VOCK
LATHROP & GAGE, L.C.
4845 PEARL EAST CIRCLE
SUITE 302
BOULDER, CO 80301

EXAMINER

BARTUSKA, FRANCIS JOHN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3627

DATE MAILED: 05/08/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

cd

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/578,631

Applicant(s)

BARBER, WILLIAM H.

Examiner

F. J. BARTUSKA

Art Unit

3627

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-83 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15, 18-56 and 59-83 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 16, 17, 57 and 58 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, 43, 50, 51, 53, 59, 61, 62, 64, 65, 67 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hamm et al. Hamm et al disclose a vending system that dispenses CDs, see col. 3, lines 8-15, from kiosks 24 which have processors 72

which communicate with server processor 12. Credit card payment and credit check with ACH 22 are disclosed in col. 3, lines 53-65.

Transmitting billing information to the customer for the purchases is disclosed in col. 6, lines 22-25 and providing the customer with a receipt is disclosed in col. 7, lines 8-13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-8, 27-29, 42, 44-53, 60-62, 69, 70 and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanoh et al in view of Hamm et al. Kanoh et al show kiosks 3, which dispense CDs, see col. 1, lines 7-12, and receive them back. The kiosks of Kanoh et al are connected to a remote host computer 50, see col. 5, lines 33-40. Kanoh et al include a reader 61 that reads bar codes on the CDs and indicates when a CD is erroneously returned, see col. 6, lines 13-16. Kanoh et al maintain an inventory of the rented CDs and the location of the CDs in

the kiosk, see col. 7, lines 35-64. Kanoh et al do not disclose transmitting a receipt to the user. Hamm et al disclose in col. 7, lines 8-13 transmitting a receipt to the user to verify purchases and maintain expense records for business and tax purposes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the showing and teaching of Hamm et al to provide the device of Kanoh et al with means to transmit to the user a receipt to verify purchases and maintain expense records for business and tax purposes.

Claims 30-32, 71 and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanoh et al in view of Hamm et al as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Brindze et al. Kanoh et al, as modified by Hamm et al, disclose all the features of the applicant's claimed invention except concentric markings on the CDs. Brindze et al show CDs with unique concentric markings to keep track of each CD. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the showing and teaching of Brindze et al to provide the CDs of Kanoh et al with unique concentric markings to keep track of each CD.

Claims 9-12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamm et al in view of Reid et al. Hamm et al show all the features of the applicant's claimed invention except a storage carousel for the CDs. Reid et al show a storage carousel 32 for holding the articles. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the carousel of Reid et al for the storage means of Hamm et al since they are equivalent and either would work equally well in the dispenser of Hamm et al.

Claims 13, 54, 55 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamm et al in view of Takahashi et al. Hamm et al disclose all the features of the applicant's claimed invention except the device to polish the CDs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the CD polishing device shown in Takahashi et al to provide the device of Hamm et al with a device to polish the CDs to remove scratches or stains from the CDs.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanoh et al in view of Hamm et al as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Takahashi et al. Kanoh et al, as

modified by Hamm et al, disclose all the features of the applicant's claimed invention except the device to polish the CDs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the CD polishing device shown in Takahashi et al to provide the device of Kanoh et al with a device to polish the CDs to remove scratches or stains from the CDs.

Claims 22, 36-38, 63 and 77-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamm et al in view of Kitchen et al. Hamm et al show all the features of the applicant's claimed invention except transmitting the bill by e-mail. Kitchen et al disclose a bill paying system in which bills are transmitted to the payor by e-mail, see col. 7, lines 6-16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the showing and teaching of Kitchen et al to send the bills to the customers in Hamm et al by e-mail.

Claims 25, 34, 35, 66, 75 and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamm et al in view of Dedrick. Hamm et al show all the features of the applicant's claimed invention except sending advertisements according to a user profile. Dedrick

discloses sending advertisements according to a user profile. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the showing and teaching of Dedrick to tailor the advertisement in Hamm et al according to user profiles.

Claims 33, 73 and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamm et al in view of Iida. Hamm et al show all the features of the applicant's claimed invention except an optical writing system. Iida disclose an optical writer 28 that writes data to the CDs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the showing and teaching of Iida to provide the device of Hamm et al with an optical writer to write data to the CDs.

Claims 39-41 and 80-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamm et al in view of Iida. Hamm et al show all the features of the applicant's claimed invention except a casing with an address and packaging for mailing. Iida discloses a casing and address label and packaging for mailing the CDs in col. 15, lines 16-22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of

the showing and teaching of Iida to provide the device of Hamm et al with a casing and means to address and mail the CDs.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim is indefinite because there is not proper antecedent basis for “said advertisements”. It has been treated in the rejection under 35 USC 103 as if it depended from claim 34.

Claim 76 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim is indefinite because there is not proper antecedent basis for “said advertisements”. It has been treated in the rejection under 35 USC 103 as if it depended from claim 75.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16, 17, 57 and 58 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in

independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Japanese publication is cited to show the kiosk rental systems for CDs and DVDs. The "Phillips, Blockbuster..." publication and the "Ingram Relaunches..." publication are cited for the disclosure of the DVD rental kiosks.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. J. BARTUSKA whose telephone number is 703-308-1111. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY (ALTERNATE FRIDAYS OFF).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

fjb
May 4, 2002


F. J. BARTUSKA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
5/4/02